



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 1200
DALLAS, TX 75202

DATE: August 7, 2017

PREPARED BY: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CASE #: OI-DA-2017-CAC-0102

CROSS REFERENCE #: Hotline #2017-0218

TITLE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) NORMAN, OKLAHOMA

CASE CLOSING REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Norman, Oklahoma	

VIOLATION(S): 18 U.S. Code § 871, Threats against President and Successors to the Presidency.

ALLEGATION: On April 18, 2017, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Inspector General (OIG) Office of Investigations (OI), Office of Professional Responsibility, DC, was notified by the U.S. Capitol Police (USCP) that an email had been received in the Capitol Hill office of Oklahoma Senator James Lankford. The email stated, in part: we support the assassination and execution of Scott Pruitt who will rape this country and the EPA. We also support the need for the execution and assassination of Trump (the country's greatest rapist), Pence (another Christian terrorist), ... as well as most other terrorist pig republicans.

FINDINGS:

On April 18, 2017, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), EPA OIG, OI, coordinated (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(E)

Also on April 18, 2017, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) at (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) residence in Norman, Oklahoma. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) admitted to authoring and sending the threatening email in January 2017 shortly after (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) learned Scott Pruitt was appointed as Administrator of the EPA. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated Pruitt is just a pawn for the oil and gas industry. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) does not like

RESTRICTED INFORMATION

This report is the property of the Office of Investigations and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and its disclosure to unauthorized persons is prohibited. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. 552.

President Trump or VP Pence. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) does not want to assassinate, or have anyone else assassinate, President Trump, VP Pence, or Administrator Pruitt. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) does not own weapons and gave consent for the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) agents to search (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) residence. No weapons were found.

On April 20, 2017, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) coordinated with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Security Management Division (SMD), EPA Headquarters, and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), SMD, EPA Headquarters, regarding the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(E)

DISPOSITION: On April 24, 2017, SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) presented this case to Chris Stephens, Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA), Western District of Oklahoma, for acceptance and prosecution of 18 U.S. Code § 871, Threats against President and Successors to the Presidency.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(E)

On July 13, 2017, SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) informed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that AUSA Stephens declined this case for criminal prosecution of 18 U.S. Code § 871, Threats against President and Successors to the Presidency, (b) (5), (b) (7)(E). This case is closed.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604

Date: September 14, 2017

Prepared by: Special Agent (b) (6), (b) (7) (C)

Case #: OI-CH-2017-CAC-0101

Cross Reference #:

TITLE: (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), IN

CASE CLOSING REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7) (C)	Chicago, IL	

VIOLATION(s): 18 USC § 115 – Influencing, Impeding, or Retaliating Against a Federal Official by Threatening

ALLEGATION(s): On April 13, 2017 Special Agent (SA) (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Office of Inspector General (OIG), Office of Investigations (OI), Chicago Field Office (CFO) received an email from (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) EPA OIG OI San Francisco Field Office, referencing a “tweet” posted on social media regarding EPA Administrator, Scott Pruitt. The message, though not a direct threat, could be interpreted as intimidating and potentially threatening towards Mr. Pruitt.

FINDINGS: On April 17, 2017, SA (b) (6), and SA (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), EPA OIG OI CFO, conducted an interview of (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) at (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) residence of (b) (6), (b) (7) (C). Upon their arrival and prior to interviewing (b) (6), (b) (7) (C), SA (b) (6), and SA (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) identified themselves and stated the reason for the interview. (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) identified (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) and provided identification. (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) agreed to talk to the agents and provided the following information.

SA (b) (6), showed (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) a picture of the tweet in question and (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) admitted to authoring the tweet on twitter. (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) stated (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) is not happy with some of Administrator Pruitt's policies and wanted to express (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) displeasure. So (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) just picked the first unhappy gif (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) found from (b) (6), (b) (7) (C).

(b) (6), (b) (7) (C) stated (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) is absolutely not violent and does not want anything to happen to Administrator Pruitt. (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) stated (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) owns no guns and (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) does not have any access to guns. (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) also stated (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) has no training in weapons or combat of any kind. (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) also has no prior military experience. (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) declined to sign the standard OIG medical release of information form.

DISPOSITION: On April 24, 2017, SA (b) (6), (b) (7) contacted Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) Maria Lerner, Northern District of Indiana and advised her of the facts of the case. AUSA Lerner advised that her office would be declining the case because (b) (5), (b) (7)(E) . No further action is expected in this case; therefore, this case is closed.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
77 W. JACKSON BOULEVARD
CHICAGO, IL 60604

CASE #: OI-CH-2017-THT-0137

CROSS REFERENCE #: HOTLINE # 2017-0347

TITLE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), NVFEL

INTERVIEWEE (if applicable): N/A

PREPARED BY: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY
FINAL SUMMARY REPORT

COMPLAINT: On August 3, 2017 Special Agent (SA) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Office of Investigations (OI), Office of Inspector General (OIG), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Chicago Field Office received an email from SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) OI, OIG, EPA, DC, referencing OIG Hotline complaint 2017-0347, reporting that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) NVFEL, discussed committing suicide with another employee while at an EPA facility. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was placed on administrative leave and was barred from (b) (6) facility until further notice.

BACKGROUND: The complaint stated that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) made suicidal statements to a fellow EPA employee (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). After these statements it was determined that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) would be placed on enforce leave and barred from the facility until further notice. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

After (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was made aware of (b) (6) enforced leave and (b) (6) facility identification badge was confiscated, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was removed from the facility by AAPD. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Background database queries showed that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) has no previous arrests. A more in-depth handgun registration checks through the state of Michigan showed that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) does not possess (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CASE:
OH-CH-2017-THT-0137

INTERVIEWEE (if applicable):

DATE OF ACTIVITY:
08/04/17

DRAFTED DATE:

AGENT(S):
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

RESTRICTED INFORMATION
Page 1 of 3

This report and any attachments are the property of the EPA Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations and is loaned to your agency. It and its contents may not be reproduced or disclosed without written permission. This report contains information protected by the Privacy Act and is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Disclosure of this report to unauthorized persons is prohibited. See 5 U.S.C. 552a.

a conceal carry permit but is the owner of a 40 caliber handgun. Also, a detailed report was retrieved from the EPA about (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) previous disciplinary actions and suspensions.

INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS: After conducting a meeting with the person who filed the complaint, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and employees from Office of General Counsel, Employee Assistance Program and the Violence Prevention Coordinator, it appears (b) (6), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) with stipulations that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) until further notice. This appeared to be the extent of (b) (6) return to work requirements and doesn't appear there will be any additional administrative punishments given.

RECOMMENDATION: Due to (b) (7)(E) this case will be closed. No further action will be taken unless new information related to the investigation is discovered.

Attachment(s):

None

CASE:
OH-CH-2017-THt-0137

INTERVIEWEE (if applicable):

DATE OF ACTIVITY:
08/04/17

DRAFTED DATE:

AGENT(S):
(b) (6), (b) (6)

RESTRICTED INFORMATION
Page 2 of 3

This report and any attachments are the property of the EPA Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations and is loaned to your agency. It and its contents may not be reproduced or disclosed without written permission. This report contains information protected by the Privacy Act and is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Disclosure of this report to unauthorized persons is prohibited. See 5 U.S.C. 552a.

CASE:
OH-CH-2017-THt-0137

INTERVIEWEE *(if applicable)*:

DATE OF ACTIVITY:
08/04/17

DRAFTED DATE:

AGENT(S):
(b) (6), (b)

RESTRICTED INFORMATION

Page 3 of 3

This report and any attachments are the property of the EPA Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations and is loaned to your agency. It and its contents may not be reproduced or disclosed without written permission. This report contains information protected by the Privacy Act and is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Disclosure of this report to unauthorized persons is prohibited. See 5 U.S.C. 552a.



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL**

75 Hawthorne Street, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105

DATE: October 25, 2017

PREPARED BY: SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CASE #: OI-SA-2017-ADM-0103

CROSS REFERENCE #: COMP-2017-56

TITLE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), SAN FRANCISCO, CA

CASE CLOSING REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	

VIOLATIONS:

18 U.S.C. § 641: Theft of Public Money, Property or Records

ALLEGATION: On April 03, 2017, the EPA OIG Office of Investigations received an allegation that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), a former EPA contractor, was misusing an EPA On-Scene Coordinator vehicle in Region 9 EPA.

FINDINGS: The investigation did support the allegation of the misuse of the vehicle and assigned FasTrak. This was found to be true due to a statement provided by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to the contracting company, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). A second written statement was discovered by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) supervisor following an accident, in which the vehicle was damaged.

DISPOSITION: On August 14, 2017, the EPA notified (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) they would be responsible for \$4,677.50 in response to the mileage, lost use of the GSA leased vehicle and the use of the FasTrak.

On August 30, 2017, the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California, San Francisco, California, declined prosecution (b) (5), (b) (7)(E)

No further investigative activity is warranted. This case is closed.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

DATE: October 23, 2017

PREPARED BY: SA (b) (6), (b) (7)

CASE #: OI-RTP-2014-CAC-0027

CROSS REFERENCE #: N/A

TITLE: PHA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.

CASE CLOSING REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) PHA Environmental

VIOLATION:

S.C. Code 16-13-240

ALLEGATION:

On January 9, 2014, the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of the Inspector General, Office of Investigations (EPA OIG) met with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) South Carolina (SC) Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) Office of Criminal Investigations regarding a complaint made to them involving the cleanup of underground storage tanks.

A memorandum was generated to the Criminal Review Committee in November 2013 regarding PHA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION's (PHA) handling of the cleanup of two leaking underground storage tank sites in Greenville and Abbeville Counties SC. According to the memo, two sites containing petroleum tanks were to be cleaned up utilizing American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds (ARRA) provided to SC. However, due to difficulties resulting from working with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) PHA, the funds for this project came out of the SC state underground petroleum environmental response bank (SUPERB). Originally, nine sites were bid for cleanup using the ARRA funds. The site rehabilitation requirements were advertised in the South Carolina Business Opportunities publication, and price quotes were obtained to establish allowable site rehabilitation costs for each site.

PHA was selected as the contractor of choice after PHA assured DHEC they were using the correct product for cleanup. Two separate contractors not directly involved with the rehabilitation activities provided information that inappropriate activities have been occurring outside of the cleanup specifications. Both contractors claim that PHA used a cheaper inferior product (food grade Calcium Peroxide) instead of the PermeOx Plus, which was specified in the

RESTRICTED INFORMATION

This report is the property of the Office of Investigations and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and its disclosure to unauthorized persons is prohibited. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. 552.

bid solicitation. The sole provider of PermeOx Plus is FMC Corporation. According to records obtained by DHEC, PermeOx Plus is (b) (4)

(b) (4) on invoices provided by PHA. According to invoices received by DHEC, (b) (4)

When DHEC contacted FMC and requested information on what PHA purchased, they indicated that PHA purchased (b) (4)

This led DHEC to believe that PHA did not use the correct product as specified.

According to the DHEC Underground Storage Tank division, there are many different chemical oxidants on the market. Each has their own unique characteristics and remedial effectiveness. The primary ingredient in PermeOx Plus is Calcium Peroxide; however, PermeOx Plus is a blend that combines other ingredients with the Calcium Peroxide that significantly improves the effectiveness. According to documentation from PHA, PermeOx Plus was used as required, which is the documentation DHEC used to justify payment to PHA.

It is alleged that PHA substituted food grade Calcium Peroxide (b) (4)

The effectiveness of the remedial efforts may have been impeded and may result in a greater expenditure to complete the cleanup. The use of a cheaper inferior product gave PHA an unfair advantage while bidding against their competitors who proposed bid costs based on the purchase of the correct product.

FINDINGS:

On August 12, 2014, EPA OIG and SCDHEC contacted (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to set up an interview to discuss activities that took place between (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in 2012. In 2012, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Underground Storage Tank (UST) "injection" activities for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) at two separate locations – one location being at (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in Greenville County, SC and the other site being at the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) located in Calhoun Falls, SC. While discussing a time to meet in person, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) provided the following information:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said (b) (6) did not have much information to provide, but would be happy to meet in person to discuss the case. (b) (6) recalled there being bags of persulfate and some other "yellow looking stuff" in cardboard drums with bags inside. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

On August 5, 2014, the EPA OIG received an email from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Environmental Solutions. This company used to be known as FMC International and had business dealings with PHA. The email provided invoices of purchases made by PHA for two

packages of PermeOx Plus, invoice number (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and One Hundred Thirty-Four pieces of Calcium Peroxide, invoice number (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

The email further provided a letter which was sent to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control on July 24, 2013. This letter specified the sales from FMC to PHA in 2012 and identified 200 pounds of PermeOx Plus, 13,400 pounds of Calcium Peroxide and 10,964 pounds of Klozur.

On August 6, 2014 (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) provided an additional invoice for One Hundred Twenty pieces of Klozur, invoice number (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

On August 25, 2014, the EPA OIG and SCDHEC interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in person. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) just uses Persulfate, but this time (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) used another chemical in addition. It was in a cardboard container with a bag inside and inside the bag was a yellow powder mix. (b) (6) thought it was Calcium Peroxide. When asked if he recalled any other chemicals being used, specifically "PermeOx" or "Closure," (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said (b) (6) did not recall any other chemicals. (b) (6) only saw Calcium Peroxide. (b) (6) remembered (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) having to cut the cardboard off the container to hang out for the Fire Department.

When asked if there was anyone from DHEC that was on site, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) remembered someone named (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and a couple others from the state; however, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) never really spoke with any of them. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) recalled seeing them out there numerous times. DHEC had delayed the project at one point. When asked if it appeared like (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was trying to conceal the chemicals (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was using, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said there was some caution tape that sectioned off the chemical area, but other than that everything was out in the open (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) The shipments included the cardboard chemical containers and pallets. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) recalled unloading the trucks before (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) arrived. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C). There were also new cardboard containers of what (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) thought was Calcium Peroxide. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) remembered the chemical was an oxidizer with a red label and a number on the label. When asked if there was anyone else doing work on these projects that may have seen (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) mix the chemicals, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) reiterated (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was the only other person on site. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

On October 9, 2014, the EPA OIG received an email from SCDHEC, which was forwarded from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Corrective Action Section Underground Storage Tank Management Division SCDHEC. In this email, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) indicated that SCDHEC received a report for the cleanup at the two sites. The data in the report shows that both sites are still contaminated in many of the wells that were tested. Not all of the wells were able to be tested at that time due to chemical issues.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) refused, through (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) attorney, to be available for an interview.

DISPOSITION:

On June 14, 2017, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) PHA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION pled Nolo Contendere to the charge S.C. Code 16-13-240 – Obtaining Signature or Property by False Pretenses Value \$2,000 or less (See attachment #1 for details). The case was (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was sentenced to 30 days' confinement or a payment of \$200.00 to be paid within 60 days. As part of the plea agreement, the charges in the districts of Abbeville, SC and Granville, SC were dropped.



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL**

75 Hawthorne Street, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105

DATE: May 23, 2017

PREPARED BY: SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CASE #: OI-SA-2015-CFR-0040

CROSS REFERENCE #: COMP-2016-112

TITLE: California Department of Conservation – Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources

CASE CLOSING REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
California Department of Conservation – Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources	801 K St, MS-05, Sacramento, CA	
Macpherson Oil Company	24118 Round Mountain Rd, Bakersfield, CA	

VIOLATIONS:

- 18 U.S.C. § 201(b): Bribery
- 18 U.S.C. § 666: Theft or Bribery Concerning Programs Receiving Federal Funds
- 18 U.S.C. § 1001: False Statements

ALLEGATION: On July 18, 2017, the EPA OIG Office of Investigations received allegations of public corruption, collusion and false statements involving the California Department of Conservation – Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR), Sacramento, California; and Macpherson Oil Company, Bakersfield, California. According to information received, Pace Diversified Corporation (PDC), Bakersfield, California, notified the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the same allegations.

FINDINGS: The investigation did not support the allegations of public corruption, collusion and false statements as noted above. The investigation revealed DOGGR erroneously issued permits for wells in non-exempt areas, including the two wells initially identified by PDC. Further, the investigation did not uncover any evidence to support preferential treatment by DOGGR regarding their awarding of permits to various companies.

DISPOSITION: In September 2015, the EPA notified DOGGR of 33 wells - identified as being in non-exempt areas - to be shut-in. The EPA will continue to receive quarterly/annual reports from DOGGR to verify the aforementioned wells were successfully shut-in.

RESTRICTED INFORMATION

This report is the property of the Office of Investigations and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and its disclosure to unauthorized persons is prohibited. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. 552.

In August 2016, this case was referred to the EPA Criminal Investigation Division, San Francisco, California, who declined opening an investigation into this matter.

This case was investigated jointly with the Federal Bureau of Investigations. No further investigative activity is warranted. This case is closed.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

DATE: JULY 20, 2017

PREPARED BY: SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CASE #: OI-HQ-2016-ADM-0012

CROSS REFERENCE #:

TITLE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CID, EPA

CASE CLOSING REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	

VIOLATION: Employee Misconduct

ALLEGATION: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CID, EPA, engaged in inappropriate behavior (harassment/touching) and was under the impairment of alcohol while on duty.

FINDINGS: The conflicting accounts regarding the allegations do not support a finding. The case was not presented to the U.S. Attorney's Office. CID did not refer any additional criminal allegations back to the OIG based on this investigation.

DISPOSITION: The case is recommended for closure.